

Letter to the Antirelativists

Joseph J. Smulsky

Institute of Earth's Cryosphere of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences

PO Box 1230, 625000, Tyumen, RUSSIA

e-mail: jsmulsky@mail.ru

There are many erroneous assumptions in contemporary science. The paper describes the origins of the two of them: dark energy and neutrinos. All errors are due to the Theory of Relativity. It must be thrown out and forgotten. The electromagnetic interaction accurately describes the force that depends on the distance and velocity. For exact calculation of gravitational interactions it has created the Galactica system, which is freely available on the Internet.

Dear Li Zifeng,

Dear colleagues,

I agree with the comments of Prof. Li Zifeng: Comments on "Frontier experiments: Tough science-Five experiments as hard as finding the Higgs" on the paper of the same name by Nicola Jones in *Nature* [1]. Li Zifeng skeptically item by item considers five "frontier" experiments: spotting distant signs of life, seeing through the molecular mirror, looking for extra dimensions, catching a gravity wave, redefining the kilogram. Indeed, the experiments, suggested in the paper [1], are false targets. The whole of contemporary science, based on the Theory of Relativity, is false. Despite the fact that engineers have created instruments and apparatus which enable us to obtain new knowledge about the micro- and macocosm, the interpretation of this knowledge by the academic scientists is false. These scientists enter mankind in error, for him put false targets, which example are and above mentioned experiments.

What is science? The science is that, what gives us knowledge about the world. The Theory of Relativity gives false ideas about the world. Instead of True it spreads Lie. Therefore, the Theory of Relativity is a pseudoscience. The Theory of Relativity must be discarded and forgotten!

More than 40 years back in my works I have proved, that the force of interaction of electrified or of magnetized bodies depends not only on distance but also on the velocity between the interacting bodies (see for example [2]). The equation for this force, I also gave. Take it and solve all the problems of electromagnetic interactions. The Special Theory of Relativity is no longer needed!

In my works, I have shown that all observed motions in the Solar system are described by Newton's law of gravitation (see for example [3]). I have created the precise method for solving equations of the gravitational interaction of bodies. It is implemented in the program Galactica, with which I have solved many problems. The whole system of Galactica is available at: <http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/GalactW/>. The information on it I am attaching by files Galct11R.pdf and Galct11E.pdf [4] in Russian and English, respectively. Take it and solve all the urgent tasks that are currently facing humanity. Get with the help of Galactica new knowledge about the world. Do not mention more the Theory of Relativity, do not criticize it. It is useless!!!

Here is an example. More than 15 years ago in my works (see for example p.11.6 [2]) I showed that the Big Bang and the Ex-

panding Universe are impossible, because all bodies attract each other. My evidence is so obvious that it cannot be rejected. So are my proofs became a sensation? Is all establishment science began to quote my work? Was I awarded Nobel Prize for these scientific results? No, none of my arguments are not mentioned. You, too, antrelativists, did not mention these work, as well as no mention of my other works.

Now think about why, you antrelativists, not mention about my work? Because it is do not favorable for you. If the Big Bang theory will disappear, if the Theory of Relativity will disappear, it would not be object to criticism. And, besides, everyone antrelativist wants to create a theory similar to the Theory of Relativity and instead of Einstein to stand on a pedestal.

However we shall return to an academic science. My work is not cited academics, but my arguments are taken into account. Now they say something like this. The whole mass of the Universe are matter (12%) and dark energy (rest). The dark energy objectively exists, though yet have no be discovered by a science. Without dark energy cannot be the Big Bang and the Expanding Universe. If there were no dark energy, the gravitational force is not possible to expand the universe. Thanks to dark energy there are forces of gravitation with opposite sign, which provide expansion of the universe.

I am repeating again, the contemporary science is false, and scientists, who profess it, are insane. This science should be discarded and forgotten. Here is a second example from the field of microcosm. Dr. Ricardo Carezani and David de Hilster in their works [5-6] clearly outlined the situation with the neutrino. Radioactive radium converts into polonium and emits electrons. In 1927 C. D. Ellis and W. A. Wooster in calorimeter [7] had measured energy of the electrons: 0.36 MeV. In 1931 from the standpoint of the Theory of Relativity Wolfgang Pauli counts the kinetic energy of the electron and receives 1.16 MeV. This value is due to the dependence of the electron mass on the velocity, adopted in the Theory of Relativity. In fact, as I mentioned, the mass is constant, and the force depends on the velocity. However, the relativists believe that such an erroneous energy 1.16 MeV is real, so they think out a particle the neutrino, which must be the bearer of false energy $1.16 \text{ MeV} - 0.36 \text{ MeV} = 0.86 \text{ MeV}$. More than half a century of establishment science put grand experiments to search for neutrinos. Now, in 2011, there is a new sensation: the velocity of neutrinos exceeds speed of light. The sensation is "grandiose", because the excess is much smaller than the uncer-

tainty in the speed of light. This experiment with neutrino and its outcome is absurd of nonsense. If there is a superluminal motion, there is no Theory of Relativity, and therefore there is no neutrino.

However, now in all nuclear transformations the neutrino is introduced. All the energy balances of nuclear reactions experimentally measured. The experimental values are increased by fictitious energy of neutrinos, and then they are reduced by this amount, due to the fact that all the neutrinos fly freely. As a result, the establishment scientists say for all mankind that without the Theory of Relativity, without neutrinos, without quarks, and without froggy quacks (in the next phase) the nuclear energy is not possible.

I repeat once again, the contemporary science is false, and scientists, who profess it, are insane. This science should be discarded and forgotten. Concentrate your efforts on obtaining new knowledge about the world through a system of Galactica. If your age does not allow to master work on the computer, involve young people, students, and can even be able pupils. The description of system Galactica will give them the necessary knowledge to work with the program Galactica. Your knowledge and your experience will help young people to master other scientific problems.

References

- [1] Nicola Jones, "Frontier Experiments: Tough Science - Five Experiments as Hard as Finding the Higgs[J]", *Nature* **481**: 14-17 (2012).
- [2] J. J. Smulsky, **The Theory of Interaction**, 304pp (Ekaterinburg, Russia: Cultural Information Bank, 2004), http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/TVEnA5_2.pdf.
- [3] J. J. Smulsky, "New Components of the Mercury's Perihelion Precession", *Natural Science* **3** (4): 268-274 (2011), doi:10.4236/ns.2011.34034, <http://www.scirp.org/journal/ns>.
- [4] J. J. Smulsky, "System Galactica for Solving the Gravitational Interactions Problems. Institute of Earth's Cryosphere", p. 4 (SB RAS, Tyumen, 2012), in Russian, <http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/Papers/Galct11R.pdf>, in English, <http://www.ikz.ru/~smulski/Papers/Galct11E.pdf>.
- [5] Ricardo Carezani, "Nuclear-Nuclear Collisions", http://www.worldsci.org/pdf/abstracts/abstracts_6242.pdf.
- [6] David de Hilster, "The Neutrino: Doomed from Inception", *Proceedings of the NPA* **8**: 148-151 (2011), http://www.worldsci.org/pdf/abstracts/abstracts_6157.pdf.
- [8] Charles D. Ellis & W. A. Wooster, "The Average Energy of Disintegration of Radium E", *Proceedings of the Royal Society* **A117** (776): 109-123 (London, 1927).