

New Energy News

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 6
JUNE, 2001

ISSN 1075-0045

Editor: Patrick Bailey

Web Page: www.padrak.com/ine/

E-mail: halffox@qwest.net or ine@padrak.com

Hereafter, New Energy News (NEN) will be sent by email in two forms: Regular ASCII (the most basic form for computer handling of alphabetic characters) and also as a *.pdf file. If you have Adobe Acrobat on your computer you can easily read any file with a *.pdf file extension. If you do not have Adobe Acrobat, you can download it from the Internet for free. Alternatively, mail \$2 (for S&H) to NEN, 3084 E. 3300 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84109, together with YOUR MAILING ADDRESS and we will send you an Adobe Acrobat CD-ROM in the mail.

ITEMS FOR NEN

If you find new-energy items that you believe others would like to read, send them by mail or email to us and we will give credit to the first person that sends in any particular item. Your help will make NEN a better source of new-energy information.

Table of contents

Editorial Article.....	2
Abstracts	4
Book Review.....	5
Articles.....	6
Letters.....	12
Meetings.....	15
Advertisement.....	20

EDITORIAL ARTICLE

MID-YEAR INSIGHTS

Dr. Patrick Bailey, INE President

It's June 2001, and the people in California have no idea what is in store for them this summer. Perhaps by the time you read this, CA will maybe have had a few blackouts. Ha! I predict one-a-day for several days on end before October: That ought to attract more public attention to advanced energy conversion technologies.

Where are we today? The INE 2001 Conference will be on Friday and Saturday, September 7 and 8, at the same location as last year (at that nice hotel) in Salt Lake City. Please mark your calendars. See the formal announcement elsewhere in this NEN issue.

My personal focus for this year's INE Conference will be to completely update the INE Database of Devices, and again review each device in the "Interest" and "Commercialization" rankings. My paper at this year conference will be a summary of this database and those rankings.

The INE Database currently has over 130 devices listed and ranked by these two ranking criteria, as included in the INE website at:

<http://www.padrak.com/ine/DBGUIDE.html>

This webpage also includes all of the descriptions of the criteria that we use to rank each device in each of these two categories, and the form you can use to email in new and updated data for any device. Please do this!:

I again request your assistance to update this database! Each device needs to be identified by a unique name, and the inventor's name and contact person's name and researcher(s) name(s) can (if necessary) be pseudo-names: all we really care about is the reporting of truthful data, and keeping our data up-to-date.

At this time, there are about 5 devices that still look promising to many of us. One "O/U generator" in E. Australia was recently tested in person, and found to be "not-so-great". Another device (that I like) is hopefully going

to be carefully tested by the time you read this. Another device is being tracked down to be tested on the East Coast. Also, several existing technologies are being offered to specific utility companies that should increase the efficiency of their oil and gas fueled plants while at the same time reducing carbon dioxide and other emissions. Hopefully, these and other successful devices will be in the large-scale prototype stage soon.

The energy shortage in California has brought about three (3) kinds of people:

1. Those that worry and do not know what to do (e.g. the general public);
2. Those that want to use this "emergency" (intentionally created or not) to make money by whatever means (e.g. natural gas importers and probably liquid natural gas, or LNG, shipping/import companies); and
3. Well-intended managers with money to invest that just want the system to work better. This last group includes the fundors, "sugar-daddies", and "good" venture capitalists that I have been working with to assist in the sponsorship of some of these new devices. We shall see how far these efforts can go. Many inventors just want a large lump-sum payment up-front for their ideas, and this seems to just never work. What is needed is new technologies that can be funded to a prototype device level, and then licensed for use internationally.

The inventors and funding supporters will be paid back handsomely over time by the use of the device. This approach works, and has high integrity.

Therefore, at the present time, all lines of communication are open. If we can handle any of the advanced forms of energy conversion that we think that are out there, then we want those that know about it to call us. Now! This seems to be the best time to gain support to make new devices happen. Let us seize this opportunity!

EDITORIAL: GORDON B. MOODY – PUBLISHER/ EDITOR

Reference: Global Energy Outlook, vol.6, No 5

Submitted by Hal Fox

The loss of Senate committee chairmanships by members of the Republican Party is not good news for energy producers. The same people who controlled the agenda for the several decades of energy neglect will be back. Instead of supporting the President's agenda for new supply to help meet growing demand, the call will be for price ceilings to keep energy companies from making obscene profits. They forget that it was just two years ago that the industry lost \$3 billion the first quarter due to cratered prices that put many companies out of business. Instead, they will

propose further conservation, not realizing that restricting supply is the jackhammer being proposed by the environmental community to force conservation through high prices. Americans tend to believe that you can have it both ways - cheap energy and conservation. If the Bush Administration can ward off price ceiling caps, the combination of high electricity and gasoline prices will soon educate the American public in Economics 101 which dictates that prices are the result of supply vs. demand. You can curtail demand through high prices or restricting supply. On the other hand you can have low energy prices by increasing supply or restricting demand. But you can't have it both ways. When the pain becomes great enough, Americans will get the message.

ABSTRACTS

LOOK AT ENERGY USE IN THE UNITED STATES

Reference: EREN Network News -- 5/23/2001
Submitted by Hal Fox

In case you didn't know it, the United States is the world's largest energy user, producer, and importer. If you want to learn a lot about the production and use of energy in the United States -- with a particular focus on fossil fuels -- check out the recently updated U.S. energy profile, prepared by the DOE's

Energy Information Administration (EIA) and posted on the Web at:
<http://www.eia.doe.gov/cabs/usa.html>

The profile includes an interesting history of the refining business, which has declined in capacity since 1981, largely due to a removal of regulatory price controls and allocations, which caused many smaller refineries to close. Still, refineries were generally operating below their capacity up until 1998. Although EIA predicts that new refineries are unlikely to be built in the United States any time soon, expansion at existing refineries has increased production capacity in the past several years.

The American Petroleum Institute (API) reported that refinery operations and gasoline production reached record levels in April, with refineries producing at 93.8 percent of their capacity. See the API press release at:
<http://www.api.org/release.cgi?id=N002456>

A recent EIA presentation says that the current high gasoline prices are caused largely by a combination of low gasoline inventories and the high utilization of U.S. refineries. You can reach the presentation from the EIA home page by clicking on the

"Gasoline and Diesel Fuel Update," and then selecting "Gasoline Prices: What is Happening?" The EIA home page is located at:

<http://www.eia.doe.gov/>

BOOK REVIEW

'Everything Has a Price'

'The Search for Free Energy: A Scientific Tale of Jealousy, Genius and Electricity' by Keith Tutt with a foreword by Sir Arthur C. Clarke, Simon and Schuster, £18.99, ISBN 0684866609

Submitted by Thomas Valone iri@erols.com

Here is the first review of the accurate, well-written book by Keith Tutt (cover pix attached).

Website is www.theseearchforfreeenergy.com

Perhaps you may wish to reprint the review? Alternatively, review copies are available from Simon & Schuster UK. This review shows that there is hope for a respectful free energy consciousness.

Reference: NEW SCIENTIST REVIEW – 28, April 2001

The Croatian-American inventor Nikola Tesla was seriously weird. He soothed his head with x-rays, attempted communication with Mars and proposed broadcasting power by wireless. But however strange his approach, Tesla was also the originator of the rotating magnetic field that led to the alternating current (AC) electric motor and three-phase AC - key technologies that shaped traditional electricity systems throughout the 20th century.

Compared to Tesla, most of the dramatic personae in Keith Tutt's account of The Search for Free Energy seem reassuringly normal. But to those who accept the conventions of modern physics their ideas are at least as

weird as Telsa's. Anyone even moderately acquainted with the first and second laws of thermodynamics or classical quantum theory is likely to back away hastily when offered devices that purport to yield more energy than they need to operate.

But the inventors of the Radiant Energy Device, the N-machine, the Thesta-Distatica, cold fusion and its variants, hydrinos, and zero-point energy could hardly ask for a better intercessor than Tutt. His vivid, levelheaded and engrossing commentary is as entertaining as it is thought provoking.

Liberalisation and technical innovation are already raising fundamental questions about the future of electricity. If the search that Tutt chronicles should happen to bear fruit, the future will be stranger still.

ARTICLES

INCREASED FUNDING OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

By Hal Fox, Ed. Journal of New Energy

A recent report from The Economist (April 21, 2001), "Beyond the bubble is energy technology the next big thing?" shows that a lot of investment interest is developing in energy projects. What is missing from this discussion is the future role of some of the new-energy technologies.

Before investing in specific energy technologies, the investor should be aware of the potential impact of new-energy technology on alternative energy technology or older technologies. For example, the fuel cell is a hundred-year-old technology. the latest developments are to use hydrogen as the fuel. The adherents claim that the fuel cell is non-polluting. What they do not count is the pollution emitted by the fossil-fuel-burning power plants that are producing the energy to create the hydrogen. In addition, there appears to be no knowledge of the few new-energy devices that are being commercialized. Would you invest in fuel cell technology if you knew that some one or more of the new energy devices being commercialized would greatly limit the use of fuel cells?

Funds are being invested in solar, wind, geothermal, tide, and biomass energy-producing devices or systems. There is a great potential for new-

energy devices (and systems) that can produce energy at a cost that is only one-quarter to one-half of the current cost of power generation.

It is strongly suggested that there will be an increasing (perhaps-explosive) interest in new-energy devices that can produce inexpensive, non-polluting, thermal or electrical energy. If you can avoid the 95% scams or systems having little commercial advantage, then it is suggested that investing early in new-energy companies could be an excellent investment. Diversification is strongly suggested.

FREE ENERGY FRAUD

Free Energy Claim Response;

Reader Responds to Free Energy Claim:

Others Want to Know if it's for Real

Submitted by Patrick Bailey

Reference: The Spotlight, March 19, 2001, Page 19.
<http://www.spotlight.org>

In the Feb. 12 issue of The Spotlight, readers may have noticed an ad soliciting readers to send in money to get registered for free electricity for the rest of their lives.

One's first thought would be, "Gee, this sounds too good to be true."

The fact is that such promises have been around for hundreds of years, going back to counter-balanced wheels and perpetual motion machines of the 16th century.

The only perpetual thing seems to be a constant flow of people coming out of the woodwork making money off such claims. These people often hide behind an elaborate conspiracy theory as an excuse for not delivering. The most prominent of such people is Dennis Lee who has been promising "free energy in a few months" for the last 15 years.

Dennis started out as a simple con man in the early 1970s when he was passing bad checks for a construction business.

Harvey Terwilliger gave Lee \$14,700 for repairs and gave up on ever getting it all back - in spite of a court order.

Dennis moved on to do a buying-card scam that cleaned out a number of people. One victim, Alvin Wickle, lost his life savings of \$65,000. Jim Scanapico lost \$36,000.

In this scam, Lee learned to mimic born-again Christian lingo, and managed to take Pat Robertson for \$150,000. At the time, Lee started claiming to receive direct revelations from God.

NATIONAL AIRTIME

By this time, free energy claimant Joe Newman was getting national airtime and doing shows to stadiums of people.

Lee went to one of his shows and was smitten. When Newman refused to team up with Lee, Lee just started his own touring free energy show. Again people started losing money.

The state of Washington investigated Lee for consumer fraud in 1985. And in 1990, Lee was charged in California for a number of crimes. After plea bargaining, Lee was convicted on eight counts of fraud with felony penalties. In a few years, however, he was out of jail and getting people to send him more money.

Of his many constantly changing deals, the one that seems to net him the most money is selling dealerships to people who expect to get rich having the right to install free electricity machines in people's houses.

Lee claims to have 2,000 dealers and to have sold some for as much as \$100,000. His 1996 nationwide tour cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to put on, including placing full-page ads in major newspapers and renting out venues like the Philadelphia Core States Spectrum.

During that tour he said he planned on installing free electricity machines in people's homes the same year. Attendees eagerly signed up to have the machines installed in their homes, but the real agenda was to get scores of people to pay for dealerships.

Dennis distracted his dealers from the never-quite-ready free energy machines by focusing them on "other" technology, most of which either didn't exist, was not legal to sell or at best was available more cheaply elsewhere.

I have offered Dennis \$50,000 if he would just show me a working free energy machine - his only real response was to threaten to sue me and to tell his followers that I'm a CIA agent.

Eric Krieg
Horsham, Pennsylvania

(Eric Krieg is an electrical engineer and president of Ph.ACT, the Philadelphia Association for Critical Thinking. You can visit his web site at <http://www.phact.org/e/dennis.html>)

Free Energy' Never Free
Submitted by Patrick Bailey

Reference: The Spotlight, February 26, 2001, Page 19:
<http://www.spotlight.org>

Responding to an ad I saw in The Spotlight, I ordered information on "free electricity machines" and found it to be quite persuasive. However, being an engineer, I took another look from that perspective.

The cost of a membership in one club is \$50 and dues to join are \$75 totaling \$125. According to the information packet, manufacturing will begin when 1.6 million people send in their money, which will be \$200 million (with a signed waiver).

From looking at a photo of the machine, it will require a plant of some size with metal working machines plus presses and dies, etc. Also an assembly line - perhaps more than one - all requiring many operators. Distribution of the 1.6 million machines will require a large force of installers and trucks.

The total cost of all this would far exceed the \$125 per machine by many times, thereby causing bankruptcy in the early stages of the process.

Moreover, because we have to sign a waiver when we send in the money, this looks to me to be nothing more than a scam. Get real.

John Ritchings
Roscommon, Mississippi

(The Spotlight has a long history concerning "free energy." Though we support research into alternative sources of energy, we are also aware that certain unscrupulous individuals have exploited genuine interest in these types of products to run scams - especially with the U.S. facing a real energy crisis. In the past, we have rejected advertisements that we suspect of being frauds. In other situations, we have requested copies of the information packets that are being sold to verify their authenticity. The Spotlight's advertising policy is that we do not accept advertisements that we know to be fraudulent and we state that advertisers have to provide a money-back guarantee on their ads. Still, readers need to be careful any

time they are ordering products or information from any individual or company that they do not know personally. - Ed.)

What do UFOs have to do with energy alternatives?

Submitted by Josef Hasslberger

Home page:

<http://www.hasslberger.com>

Maybe more than many of us would believe at first thought. The disclosure project (<http://www.disclosureproject.org>), inspired by Steven Greer, MD, has collected overwhelming evidence for the existence of UFOs and for the deliberate suppression of evidence for that existence by government and military agencies, inspired by a 'government behind the scenes', which escapes normal institutional controls, even to the extent of data being withheld from the President and from high military officers as well as Congress and the House of Representatives.

A press conference was held on this, which can be viewed online, at the address:

<http://www.connectlive.com/events/pressclub>

(if you have 'real player' software installed on your computer).

Here is a quote from the executive summary of the disclosure project's investigations, as far as it regards alternative energy sources (the entire document can be found on their website and is long but highly readable):

We have identified insiders and scientists who can prove, in open Congressional hearings, that we do in fact possess classified energy generation and anti-gravity propulsion systems capable of completely and permanently replacing all forms of currently used energy generation and transportation systems. These devices access the ambient electromagnetic and so-called zero point energy state to produce vast amounts of energy without any pollution. Such systems essentially generate energy by tapping into the ever-present quantum vacuum Energy State - the baseline energy from which all energy and matter is fluxing. All matter and energy is supported by this baseline energy state and it can be tapped through unique electromagnetic circuits and configurations to generate huge amounts of energy from space/time all around us.

These are NOT perpetual motion machines nor do they violate the laws of thermodynamics - they merely tap an ambient energy field all around us to generate energy.

This means that such systems do not require fuel to burn or atoms to split or fuse. They do not require central power plants, transmission lines and the related multi-trillion dollar infrastructure required to electrify and power remote areas of India, China, Africa and Latin America. These systems are site-specific: they can be set up at any place and generate needed energy. Essentially, this constitutes the definitive solution to the vast majority of environmental problems facing our world.

The environmental benefits of such a discovery can hardly be overstated, but a brief list includes:

The elimination of oil, coal and gas as sources of energy generation, thus the elimination of air and water pollution related to the transport and use of these fuels.

Oil spills, global warming, illnesses from air pollution, acid rain etc. can and must be ended within 10-20 years;

Resource depletion and geo-political tensions arising from competition for fossil fuel resources will end;

Technologies already exist to scrub manufacturing effluent to zero or near zero emissions for both air and water-but they use a great deal of energy and thus are considered too costly to fully utilize. Moreover, since they are energy intensive, and our energy systems today create most of the air pollution in the world, a point of diminishing return for the environment is reached quickly. That equation is dramatically changed when industries are able to tap vast amounts of free energy (there is no fuel to pay for - only the device, which is no more costly than other generators) and those systems create no pollution.

Energy-intensive recycling efforts will be able to reach full application since the energy needed to process solid waste will, again, be free and abundant.

Agriculture, which is currently very energy dependent and polluting, can be transformed to use clean, non-polluting sources of energy. Desertification can be reversed and world agriculture empowered by utilizing desalinization plants, which are now very energy intensive and expensive, but will become cost-efficient once able to use these new, non-polluting energy systems.

Air travel, trucking and inter-city transportation systems will be replaced with new energy and propulsion technologies (anti-gravity systems allow for silent above surface movement).

No pollution will be generated and costs will decrease substantially since the energy expenses will be negligible.

Additionally, mass transportation in urban areas can utilize these systems to provide silent, efficient intra-city movement.

Noise pollution from jets, trucks and other modes of transportation will be

eliminated by the use of these silent devices.

Public utilities will not be needed since each home, office and factory will have a device to generate whatever energy is needed.

This means ugly transmission lines that are subject to storm damage and power interruption will be a thing of the past.

Underground gas pipelines which not infrequently rupture or leak and damage earth and water resources, will not be needed at all.

Nuclear power plants will be decommissioned and the technologies needed to clean such sites will be available. Classified technologies do exist to neutralize nuclear waste."

LETTERS

Hello,

NEN says it needs our articles... May the deluge be with you. This month, thanks to NEN 2001 April reprinting a letter of his, I have been reading a classic again, Tom E. Bearden. In 1996 when I first stumbled into this field full of arcane wording like "zero point energy", it was his writings that kept my interest. At first he was totally over my head - I am an electric engineer - but his practical examples convinced me the old EM theory the way I had absorbed it was seriously flawed.

Five years later, there still is no complete textbook but the evidence is clear. Bearden is not alone and his work has been quoted on a U.S. Department of Energy website:

<http://www.ott.doe.gov>

Search for "electromagnetic energy"

Tom Bearden's website is one my top bookmarks now. He freely shares his eye-opening findings. Practical example: Tom quotes and comments on a recent (2000 November) ruling in patent law that effectively wipes out intellectual protection if during the application process a thing was changed.

<http://www.cheniere.org/correspondence/030501.htm>

I wonder how much revenue an inventor would gain who did not patent the embodiment of his idea but made it "shareware" or donated it to the

public domain. If we take examples from the computer industry, it flourished with "open systems". The majority of shareware users honor good work and pay or even help to make a good product better. Could this try-before-you buy work for other intellectual property like "shareware" inventions?

On the product front, one of the long-term lab researchers in the field of new energy has good news: a working device - as far as I can see and trust the presented evidence.

<http://jnaudin.free.fr/html/meg.htm>

Please check it out for yourself and then do something, whatever duty you feel arises from the knowledge you are privileged to have - NEN included.

It may have been said before and it may have resulted in disillusionment or it simply did not spark before. I believe in what some call the "collective unconscious". I believe on our planetary engine of technical progress and scientific explanation we are cranking to the end of the compression stroke and getting close to top dead center. Is this engine going to misfire and stall or can we make it pick up enough speed for the next revolution?

I am some fuel, ready to contribute to the power stroke and buy a new energy product any time soon. Are you willing to be a spark?

Bernd Nurnberger, Yokohama, Japan, small Planet Earth

Email: bn@gol.com

To Patrick Bailey, Editor
New Energy News

Dear Patrick Bailey,

Enclosed is a copy of a letter that I am sending to Dezso Sarkadi, in response to his letter printed in the May issue of NEN.

Sincerely yours,
Pari Spolter

Note from the Editor

To NEN TXT readers only:

Sorry, the answer contains equations and so we are able to put it into PDF file only, and we are unable to put it into TXT file.

In a News Focus on Fundamental Constants, published in Science, vol. 287, 25 February 2000, p.1391, Andrew Watson points out, "But Mohr and Taylor and their Task Group colleagues have an even bigger thorn in their sides: big G, the gravitational constant. The new value has a factor of 10 greater uncertainty than the 1986 figure " The 1986 CODATA recommended value of G was

$$6.67259 \pm 0.00085 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$$

The 1998 value isⁱ

$$6.673 \pm 0.010 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$$

The fundamental question regarding the big G has not been answered. Is the very small angle of deflection (or the very small change in the period) of the torsion balance due to electrostatic attraction of the heavy metals or is it due to the inert mass of the spheres or cylinders? A simple experiment to measure and compare the attraction when the metallic balls are covered with a thin layer of an insulating material with that of the uncoated masses would provide very significant information. In an experiment reported by Heyl in 1930, the small mass of platinum was coated with a thin layer of lacquer. Consistently smaller values of G were obtained compared to the experiments using the uncoated gold and glass masses, with no overlap in the spread of the data.

The large masses were steel cylinders of about 66 kg; they were not covered with a thin layer of lacquer or other insulating materials. The small masses were spheres of gold, platinum, and optical glass weighing about 50 g. Only the platinum balls were coated with lacquer. There were 6 experiments with the uncoated gold balls, 5 experiments with the coated platinum balls, and 5 experiments with the uncoated glass balls. The arithmetic mean and the sample standard deviation,

σ_{n-1} , ($\times 10^{-8}$ in the cgs units) are

Uncoated Gold Balls	Coated Platinum Balls	Uncoated Glass Balls
6.6782 ± 0.00387	6.664 ± 0.003	6.674 ± 0.00274

The difference between the coated platinum and uncoated glass balls is significant at the 95% confidence level; the difference between the coated platinum and the uncoated gold balls is highly significant at the 99% confidence level. No measurement of the electrical conductivity of the materials used is reported in Heyl's article. We do not know how effective the thin layer of lacquer was in completely insulating the platinum balls

and the large masses were not covered with any insulating material. This experiment was not designed to test the effect of electrostatic attraction. To my knowledge no experiment has yet been performed to rule out this possibility.

Pari Spolter
Orb Publishing Company, 11862 Balboa Boulevard # 182, Granada Hills,
CA 91344-2753, USA,
E-mail: OrbPublishing@msn.com

MEETINGS

THE CONDOLENCE MEETING FOR LATE DR. INOMATA

Submitted by Eiichi Yamamoto, Pres. Yama Trans Co. Ltd.

The JPI meeting in memory of the late Dr. Inomata, the president of JPI, was held at the Kinrosha-Kaikan House in Minato-ku, Tokyo on May 26, 2001 with approximately 50 persons in attendance. The attendees included Inomata's elder brother as one of the few close relatives left. The meeting was held for three and a half hours from 1:00, being initiated by paying a 30 minute silent tribute to his soul. The brother who is the head of an institution (and not a scientist nor engineer), made a 15 minute speech to thank each attendant for their attendance. Then, the speech by about 15 attendees (including myself) followed in reflection of his achievement, personality, and lifestyle of the late Shuji Inomata. The common words in most of the speech are that the death was too sudden and he could have lived for another five years at least. It is grievous that a rare great talent of warm character was lost. About ten of the condolence messages were received from the colleagues in foreign countries. Among them, the names of Hal Fox, Beverly Rubik, Brian O'Leary, Toby Grotz, Paul Von Ward, Jeane Manning, Brian Josephson and David Lorimer were mentioned.

The secretariat of JPI announced that the office will be closed soon after the remains works is completed. No discussion about the future of the JPI office will be made until the soul settles. The meeting ended at 4:30 as scheduled.

Japan Psychotronics Institute (JPI) was founded in November of 1978, and Late Dr. Shuji Inomata held the position of president since then. A duplicated copy of the first issue of the JPI News (April of 1980, in Japanese) was given to each attendant in memory of Dr. Inomata.

NE SYMPOSIUM 2001 MEETING SCHEDULE

This year our conference will be held September 7-8, 2001
It will again be held at Quality Inn, Salt Lake City, UT. Details will be published in a subsequent issue.

For more information: Tel: (801) 466-8680
Email: halffox@qwest.net or ine@padrak.com

THE NINTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COLD FUSION

**International Convention Center, Tsinghua University,
Beijing, CHINA**

May 19-24, 2002

Dear Colleagues and Friends,

I am glad to make the first announcement for ICCF-9.
We have the WebSite and e-mail address now for The Ninth International Conference on Cold Fusion. That is:

<http://iccf9.global.tsinghua.edu.cn>

and the e-mail address :

Iccf9@tsinghua.edu.cn

Your early suggestions and comments would help us to make a better arrangement for ICCF-9.

We are looking forward to seeing your early reply (Pre-registration!).

Sincerely yours,

Li, Xing Zhong

Mailing address:
Prof. Li, Xing Zhong
Dept. of Physics, Tsinghua Univ., Beijing 100084, CHINA
Tel.:86-10-6278 4343
Fax:86-10-6278 4343

**Russian Academy of Sciences
Russian Physical Society
Nuclear Society of Russia
Mendeleev Chemical Society of Russia
Moscow Lomonosow State University
Russian Peoples' Friendship State University
State Technical University (MADI)**

Dear Colleges,

The 9th Russian Conference on Cold Nuclear Transmutation (RCCNT-9) is to be held during September 30 – October 7, 2001. The place of the Conference is in Dagomys near the city of Sochi that is the best recreation and holiday place on the Black Sea shore of Russia.

The program of the Conference includes the following subjects:

- Experimental research in cold fusion, transmutation and ball lightning;
- Theoretical models with respect to cold fusion effects;
- Applied technologies and devices.

The organizing Committee of the Conference is pleased to invite you to attend the Conference.

The terms of your participation are as follows:

The full cost is \$900 which will include the registration fee, hotel reservation and living, three daily buffet meals, conference proceedings, transportation from the Sochi airport and back, social dinner and special excursion or entertainment.

The languages of the Conference are Russian and English.

The total cost can be reduced down to \$800 if transferred before August 10 to the account of the Organizing Committee shown below:

Intermediary: Sabrrumm 100 Saving Bank of the Russian Federation
Moscow Bank;

Account with institution: 891200011 at Izmailovskoye Branch 2695/0437;

Account holder: Yuri Nikolaevich Bazhutov;

Personal account: 42304.840.9.3834.0600087

The correspondence banks of the Moscow Saving Bank of Russian Federation in your country you will find attached.

If you make a decision to take part in the Conference please let us know before July 20 about the title and abstract of your report.

Contact telephone: (7)(095) 196-7117

(Ask Mr. Igor Goryachev)

Fax: (7)(095) 196-6108

e-mail: gnedenko@kia.ru

Address: 123182, Moscow, Russia, 1 Kurchatov Sq., I Goryachev
105077, p/o box 169, Yu.Bazhutov

Yu. Bazhutov, Chairman of the Organizing Committee
I.Goryachev, Scientific secretary

Coun try	Corresponding Bank	BIC-code S.W.I.F.T.
Australia	Commonwealth Bank of Australia (Sydney)	CTBAAU2S
Austria	Centro Internationale Handelsbank (Vienna)	CENBATWW
England	Banco Do Estado De Sao Paulo Banespa S.A. (London)	BESPGB2L
	Barclays Bank Pls (london)	BARCGB22
France	Banque Nationale De Paris S.A. (Paris)	BNPAFRPP
	Caisse D'Epargne De Paris Ile-De- France (Paris)	CEPAFRPP751
Finland	Merita Bank Ltd. (Helsinki)	MRITFIHH
Germany	Bayershe Vedeinsbank (Muenchen)	BVBEDEMM
	Stadssparkasse Koeln AG (Koeln)	COLSDE33
	Commerzbank AG (Frankfurt am Main)	COBADEFF
	Dresdner Bank AG (Frankfurt am Main)	DRESDEFF
Israel	Israel Discount Bank Ltd. (Tel-Aviv)	IDBLILIT
Sweden	Svenska Handelsbanken Stockholm (Stockholm)	HANDSESS
USA	The Bank of New York (New York)	IRVTUS3N

International Scientists' Club
Russian Geographical Society

St.- Petersburg Physical Society

Preliminary information

In conformity with solution of Congress-2000 "Fundamental Problems of Natural Sciences and Engineering", St.- Petersburg, Russia, 2000, Organising Committee proceeds with tradition of scientists meetings devoted to non-traditional approaches to solution of fundamental problems in universe cognition and informs of the plans to carry out the next Congress in turn "Fundamental Problems of Natural Sciences and Engineering" , St.- Petersburg , Russia , July-2002. New scientific and constructive engineering solutions of modern problems in different spheres of natural science are supposed to be discussed.

Organising Committee believes it is high time for investigations devoted to new and more profound reunderstanding of the initial basis of natural sciences. The Congress motto is: "From investigation to knowledge cognition".

The following sections are planned

1. From natural phenomenon to philosophical cognition.
2. Time and space in genuine universe.
3. Etherdynamics.
4. Electrodynamics and gravity.
5. Energy, engineering and technology in the new millennium
6. Conceptions, laws and information.
7. Earth, solar system, universe.

Poster and discussion sessions are planned. Plenary sessions will be dedicated to short reports on fundamental results and summary information about discussion at sections and poster sessions.

Organising Committee takes into account the success of the Catharsis conference, which took place in Congress-200 framework and plans to organise Catharsis conference "Science on the threshold of the millennium" in 2002. Congress working languages are Russian and English. Simultaneous translation will be provided. Special meetings and reports are supposed to be videotaped and included into Congress publications.


Excursions over St. Petersburg will be organised.

Detailed information will be sent in October-November 2001 on your request.

Chairman of the Congress "Fundamental Problems of Natural Sciences and Engineering", St. Petersburg 2002

A. P. Smirnov

 ADVERTISEMENT

<p><i>*Science & Technology* Medicine-Human Sciences*</i></p> <p>ARCANA BOOKS 948 East Millcreek Way Salt Lake City, UT.84106.USA Tel/fax: 801.474.1050 email.jkarc1@qwest.net Web side: www.abebooks.com/home/lubov</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p><i>*Specialists in hard to find books of particular interest*</i> Free Book Search*</p>
--