

New Energy News

Special Symposium Issue **Part II**

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 9
SEPTEMBER, 2001

ISSN 1075-0045

ARTICLES

Physicist claims gagging over missile defense system

JONATHAN KNIGHT (Nature 412, 468, August 2, 2001)

Summary of Nature article: Submitted by Hal Fox

Theodore Postol, Professor of Science, Technology and National Security Policy at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), has stated that the technology for an effective missile defense does not exist. The Defense Security Service has asked that the documents (which are freely available on the Internet) be recovered from Postol. Last year, Postol stated that the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization of modifying the results of a series of tests of the Pentagon's efforts to prove that a missile system could be effective.

Comments by Hal Fox, former missile systems engineer: In one of the developments of a missile system several years ago, one of the test missiles was fixed with a radio control system. A member of the missile development team was placed near the target and guided the missile to the target during its final phase. This was done to demonstrate to the funding agency that real progress was being made. This result achieved the intended results of providing the time to complete the development work and a successful missile system was completed. In the case of developing a missile to strike another missile at this stage of technological development, it is deemed to be a unusual waste of taxpayer's money. There is now beam technology that can be developed at far less cost that can take about any missile out of the sky that can be detected and tracked.

<http://www.armscontrol.ru/start/archive/news0005.htm#postol>

TOO HOT TO HANDLE

Summarized from a Copyrighted article by Richard Milton

Submitted by Hal Fox

Maurice Ward, an amateur British inventor, has discovered a thin film material that is highly resistant to heat. In his demonstration he covered an egg with the thin film, heated it with an oxyacetylene torch for a few minutes. After heating he dunked the egg and broke it open to show that the egg was uncooked.

Maurice has been a fork lift truck driver, and most lately a ladies hairdresser. He works out his own cosmetic concoctions and found this new material as a result of some of his amateur chemical experiments.

Richard Milton points out the results of a survey of inventors of valuable patents in various British research facilities. He found that there was an excellent correlation between lack of an advanced degree and the number of important inventions. The person with the highest degrees had the least number of inventions.

Hal Fox's comments: We play a lot of word games about whether our method of teaching science suppresses the students innate innovative ability. At least in Britain, we now have the evidence that if you want to be a successful inventor stay away from advanced college degrees. Here is some advice to students: Don't be fooled into believing that your professors or the textbooks they write are correct. These lectures and texts merely report on the latest scientific models. I have an early 1900 science text book in which it is shown that house flies are clean because you can see them eating up the dirt around the house. This same textbook explains the science behind the kerosene lamp and how to trim the wick properly. Some of the currently taught dogma that has been shown to be incorrect are the Big Bang, both the special and general theories of relativity, and much of quantum dynamics. The teaching and defense of outdated dogma has long held back some important energy developments.

RE-INHERITING THE EARTH: THE NARROWING OF THE ENERGY POLICY DEBATE AND HOW WE MUST TRANSCEND IT

Adapted from the INTRODUCTION of Brian O'Leary's new book, August 2001. (Portions deleted to fit NEN newsletter.)

Submitted by Hal Fox

What a difference a few months make! When I was writing Re-Inheriting

the Earth late last year, people seemed oblivious about our energy problems and now it's in the headlines every day. Yet the press omits any mention of the inevitable demise of the fossil fuel age, which will become necessary because of dwindling supplies and increasing pollution. In the long run, the issue will be joined between two opposing forces. The one now in power will certainly lead our culture into a state of such disarray, there may be no way out. The other offers sustainable solutions.

...

Unfortunately, in the eyes of the mass media, discussion about ending pollution and global climate change has been abandoned. The debate has narrowed to political and short-term economic considerations rather than the long-term physical facts and technological directions, which would surely prompt a serious discussion of a sustainable future. ...

A recent example is an USA Today cover article "Six Ways to Combat Global Warming" (July 16, 2001 issue). No mention is made at all about the real solutions such as solar, wind, hydrogen, improving efficiency and new-energy research. Instead, we only heard about political steps such as ratifying the Kyoto agreements. ...

The only global option we have is to cut our toxic emissions to near-zero--a measure which not only prevents global suicide but is cost-effective in the long run. Over the coming decades, petroleum and natural gas will become more scarce and expensive, and certainly not cost-competitive with clean renewable. ...

Noam Chomsky stated the problem this way: "The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum--even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of debate."

So what can we do? Re-Inheriting the Earth proposes the establishment of a global green democracy, which would have jurisdiction over unbridled competition, and growth of giant multinational corporations and their friends in politically high places. ...

I present in my book the case for the most urgent measures, ones upon which the preponderant number of citizens of the world would agree. Included would be the shift of public subsidies from polluting to clean enterprises, enforcing strict emissions standards, ...

In order to do this job as quickly as possible, I have become aware of a brilliant idea proposed by John Bunzl in England. It is called the Simultaneous Policy (www.simpol.org). Under this plan, any individual, organization, city, state or nation that wishes to do it would adopt measures such as those listed in the previous paragraph in principle. ...

The process of ratifying the Kyoto agreements on carbon dioxide emissions provides a good example of what could be done with the Simultaneous Policy. It therefore would not be surprising if the United States were to be the last holdout to adopt the policy, but could you imagine the moral pressure which would be mounted against the American Government for not adopting it? ...

Yet my discussion is well-grounded in collective human experience and scientific study. These paradigm-breaking topics have been omitted in the debate by the same dynamics as the quest for clean energy.

...

We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals so that security and liberty may prosper together."

...

The next phase of the Disclosure Project would be to have open congressional hearings. But that can happen only with a civic awakening.

...

Perhaps a significant part of the robust secrecy apparatus has been to suppress "free energy". This is a concept which spans the gap between the sustainability movement (who deny these possibilities) and the need to embrace greater truth. The possibility of free energy is imminent, with hundreds of reputable reports of "over-unity" power coming in from laboratories all over the world. I myself have seen many such demonstrations. Free (or new) energy would cause one of the most extraordinary revolutions in the history of technology (examples include special electromagnetic devices, cold fusion, and hydrogen gas cells). It needs to be debated widely and openly about its great benefits as well as its potential for abuse in the wrong hands. Attempts to bring free energy into the world have been thwarted at every turn, because this would spell the end of the energy cartel. As scientist-inventor Dr. Tom Bearden put it, "The cost of a single large power plant will solve the entire world energy crisis forever." ...

There are too many vested interests among scientists, industrialists and governmental officials to acknowledge free energy. Yet it exists. ...

From my experience and study over three decades, I have learned that going outside the box of materialistic western science to the realms of consciousness will make our paradigm shift so much easier. But in the short term, allopathic remedies such as solar, wind, hydrogen and new energy will be absolutely necessary because the Earth is in the emergency room. We need to lend all the assistance we can, but we can only do that by a tireless search for beauty, balance, truth and justice.

WRITE YOUR CONGRESSMAN
Release classified energy inventions.
Submitted by Hal Fox

Gary Vesperman is encouraging Tom Valone, Integrity Research Institute, wrote the following to Gary Vesperman:

"Your email is an answer to a prayer. I'm letting our energy newsletter list know of your courageous suggestion to your Congresswoman. Integrity Research Institute (www.integrityresearch.org) and the Disclosure Project (www.disclosureproject.org) both support your suggestion.

"I will be happy to cooperate with your three requests and even meet with the Staffperson Guiton at his convenience. As a former Patent Examiner, I can tell you that the number of "secretized" patents in the vault at the Patent Office (Park 5 Bldg.) is closer to 4000 or more. They (inventions) never receive a patent number and the inventor is rarely, if ever, compensated by the government for use of the invention. I will address your last two requests in the near future.

"Since you have taken the initiative to start this process, we can help by contacting our Congresspeople and asking them to send letters to Congresswoman Berkley in support. I also suggest that we all should ask our Congresspersons to contact the three committees that are pertinent to this subject: Environment and Public Works headed by James Jeffords; Energy & Natural Resources headed by Jeff Bingaman; and Commerce headed by Ernest Hollings."

NEN readers are requested to use this information to write to your Congressmen and encourage support of Congresswoman Shelley Berkley.

CONGRESSWOMAN BERKLEY'S BILL
Write your Congressman
Submitted by Hal Fox

Gary Vesperman reports:

Congresswoman Shelley Berkley of Nevada has introduced a bill to annually provide \$750 million in loan guarantees to be administered by the Small Business Administration. The SBA already provides nationally \$11 billion in loan guarantees although the actual cost to federal taxpayers in administrative costs and loan losses is only \$100 million. The emphasis of the loans is "to encourage the use of renewable energies by businesses and to spur investments in innovative technologies reducing dependence on fossil fuels, foreign energy sources, and to improve the quality of air.

Vesperman informed Congresswoman Berkley that the U.S. Patent Office has a nine-member committee, which screens patents for national security implications. He also explained that a hidden purpose of this committee is to screen and lock up energy-related patents which could threaten the fossil-fuel monopolies, the power grid, nuclear, coal, etc. When an inventor has his or her energy patent classified, the inventor faces 20 years in prison for working on or publicizing the invention. A Space Energy Journal article by Don Kelly claimed some years ago that there are now 3000 energy patents, which have been classified. Gardy said that he considered it highly likely there are some potentially very productive new sources of energy in those 3000 patents.

Vesperman cites the following letter written to get further information:

To Mark.Guiton@mail.house.gov

Congresswoman Berkley's staff

Subject Congresswoman Shelley Berkley

Dear Mark Guiton,

Would you please email or snailmail me a copy of the bill that Congresswoman Berkley has developed for adding loan guarantees by SBA for energy-related developments.

I wish to obtain sufficient information so that I can urge my Congressmen and Senators to support the bill.

One of the big problems in new-energy products (new-energy defined to exclude solar, wind, tides, geothermal, and biomass) is obtaining patents. Unless the inventor has an excellent attorney, these new developments will often be deemed to have military value and then classified by the "powers that be" in the U.S. Patent Office. Many novel, new-energy developments have already been so classified.

May I urge you to include in your bill a section which would prohibit the U.S. Office of Patents and Trademarks from

classifying any new invention unless it has been supported by direct government funding. We are one of the few nations in the world that can prohibit the patenting of inventions, which have been developed with personal or private funds. This action by the Office of Patents and Trademarks should be immediately prohibited.

SKYOPEN Message

Pravda Claims New Energy Source

By: Harry Mason orbitx@iinet.net.au

Has this been on the newswires yet? If it has, I appear to have missed it.
(*Editorial Note: NEN August 2001 published a short abstract pertaining to the article in Pravda.*)

Here's a copy FYI. If you guys have any data on this I'd appreciate hearing more. If you can plough through the Pravda Russian-English translation it gets more informative further in - but still lacks specifics

Story originally published by Pravda / Russia - July 25 2001
Russian Sensation: New Energy Source to Power Everything - Pravda Releases

[Original headline: A NEW WAY TO PRODUCE ENERGY
DISCOVERED BY RUSSIAN SCIENTISTS. USING NUCLEAR FUEL,
GAS, OIL AND COAL CAN BE REFUSED]

Russian scientists have made a sensational discovery. Some fundamental investigations realized by them could entail a real revolution on the field of industry and power engineering and allow to make series of new inventions.

Traditional ways of producing energy are becoming now unworkable. Completely new materials and technologies are being created. In particular, it is possible today to build so-called airborne vehicles of non-supported moving, like UFO.

According to the Russian Academy of Science member, Doctor of Technics Valeri Sobolev, a special electrochemical process has been discovered - "exhausting process" - which results in appearing high-temperature materials in a new condition". Therefore a new condition of a material, new powers source and a new superconducting matter have been received and magnetic charge has been discovered.

Scientists are intending now to work out completely new current sources for domestic and industry aims, which could continuously produce energy without using any kinds of fuel. It would allow renouncing using nuclear fuel, gas, oil and coal. Environment's condition could be bettered too. On

the basis of "exhausting process" new ultrastrong materials are supposed to be created, which could be used in producing cars, planes and rockets. Metals and other constructing materials could be replaced with them.

The group of scientists has already sent a letter addressed to the Russian President, which informs about their discoveries. Now is the state's turn to give a government contractual work

Info on Sensational Energy Source Discovery

<http://www.100megsfree4.com/farshores/nrussen.htm>

Our site published the information yesterday about the new sensational discovery made by the Russian scientists. The head of the group of those scientists was academician Valerian Sobolev. The published material caused a wild discussion on the Forum of PRAVDA.Ru, there were some appeals to give more details about that discovery. We admit that we had more general phrases in that material than the actual facts.

Today we have an opportunity to give more details regarding Sobolev's discovery. RIA Novosty distributed the statement released by academician Valerian Sobolev:

The statement from academician Valerian Sobolev, the head of the group of scientists who made several scientific discoveries.

"The group of scientists which I am the head of made 7 discoveries. This was a breakthrough in the knowledge. We discovered the new objectively existing regularities of the material world, which were not known to the humanity before. This opens new horizons to our country in terms of economy and social field. The discoveries make it possible to get cheap electric power, create new aircrafts with the new engines, and produce the new construction materials that will be very strong but much lighter. These discoveries will surely become the property of the people from all countries, they will make their life easier, more comfortable, and they will improve the quality of life fundamentally. However, for the time being our group of scientists would like those discoveries to be realized on the territory of Russia. We let the Russian President know about that.

These discoveries will be the ground for the principally new technologies, they will have a huge impact on development of technology and energy. We believe our discoveries have a fundamental significance for profound understanding of the material world around us. For instance it will be possible to create the new technologies for production of sources of the extremely cheap electric power without using conventional energy carriers like nuclear fuel, oil, gas or coal. When manufacturing the new aircrafts there can be used the new ways for them to move both in the air and in the

outer space like UFO.

These discoveries are connected with an opportunity to create the principally new materials, which have not existed before.

I will enumerate those discoveries. There are 7 of them:

THE NEW SUBSTANCE CONDITION was discovered which is characterized with the nonstoichiometric nature of the composition. Qualitative and structural nature of these substances can not be explained from the point of view of chemical laws, which are known nowadays. The substance in this condition may radiate the time-changing magnetic flux;

THE NEW CLASS OF MATERIALS was discovered uniting the mentioned substances in the new condition. Owing to the new composition they possess a wide range of new qualities: they can generate electric power or have a possibility to generate the low-temperature-plasma which is easy to get under the usual productive procedures. They can also become the ground to create superconductors working in positive low temperatures. Some of them can have several of the mentioned qualities at once;

THE SPECIAL SELECTIVE ELECTROCHEMICAL PROCESS was discovered. This allows to produce the new class of materials from a number of oxide melts. We called that influence on conventional materials as "DEPLETION PROCESS". As a result of such processing the electrons from oxide melts come off the outer orbits or get removed which provides for forming the unusual structures with a line of absolutely new qualities;

THE MAGNETIC CHARGE WAS EXPERIMENTALLY DISCOVERED. This was theoretically forecasted by several scientists earlier - in 1931. It was believed it could be a magnetic monopole - some magnetic particle with a micro-charge. We discovered and experimentally proved with 100 per cent recurrence rate that not a particle but the substance in the new condition had that quality, i.e. the entire environment and the generally organized structures in it;

THE NEW ENERGY SOURCE was discovered. The materials (entire environment) having the magnetic charge are the new energy source. Radiating the magnetic flux, they create the electromotive force in conductors, to be more precise - in the conductive circuit. Such materials together with those circuits make up the new physical current source. We called that current source contrary to the chemically received current source. The power of the physical current is replenished owing to the power of the natural force fields of the Earth. Therefore, the source does not require the traditional hydrocarbon, nuclear energy carriers or the power of the Sun, wind and the like. It can work under any climate

conditions. Such a source can be manufactured in the form of the autonomous device of the household or industrial purpose, producing free electric power.

A little bit of explanation. The substance in the NEW CONDITION likes any other one is in the natural force fields of the Earth (electric, magnetic, temperature - exterior towards the substance). But unlike conventional substances this one has the magnetic charge. It can change its shape, kind and type of the fields surrounding it. For example, the directional magnetic field of the Earth can be accumulated to the distributed magnetic field, which possesses power like any other field. That is why these new materials are capable of replenishing their power from the environment, which is different from the conventional substances around us. We proved that mechanism experimentally: the substance, which produced the 300 volt current was working on the level of 300 volts again after the 4-hour disconnection.

The substance restored its "voltage" because it took the power from the natural fields of the Earth around it.

Thus the discovered phenomenon does not break the law about energy conservation. This energy is not taken from "nowhere" and does not go "nowhere" either. It only changes its shape. The substance becomes the source of the electromotive force for a long period in any conductor introduced in the space of that substance. This substance has a transitional, I would like to emphasize it - the non-standard magnetic field.

For a start we plan to produce autonomous devices on the ground of the new substance condition - the 3-kilowatt current source;

METHOD TO GENERATE THE LOW-TEMPERATURE PLASMA was discovered. On the ground of the "depletion process" we derived the substances capable of generating the low-temperature plasma of the charged particles. They carry the charge of one character and that is why it is real to produce the SUPERCONDUCTOR on the ground of the new plasma generating devices. This will allow to create the new movers for aircrafts for example, including the so-called "unsupported" movement like UFO has.

REFERENCE: Valerian Markovich Sobolev. Academician of the Russian Academy of Natural Sciences, Lenin and State prize winner, Doctor of Technical Sciences, professor. The director of the Research Institute Science of Materials of the Russian Academy of Natural Sciences. The author of more than 300 scientific works and inventions.

"Anti-Gravity"

Submitted by Patrick Bailey

(From an email I received:)

Isn't BAE Systems working on an anti-gravity mechanism of some sort?

I stumbled across this story and thought that you might be interested in that - and pass it on to other people who might be interested in it.

Eugene Podkletnov, the physicist who claimed to have discovered an anomalous gravitational "shielding" effect in the 90s, but withdrew his original paper prior to publication, has finally published a new paper on the topic. The paper describes a new experiment that is related to the original experiment, but the nature of the new experiment is more suggestive of an inverse gravitational effect (that is, the device creates a gravitational push away from it), or in Trekkie terms, a repulsor beam. Aside from claiming to have pushed things around at a distance, Podkletnov claims that the results directly contradict general relativity.

Let's see if I can summarize: the author claims that with a certain very cold superconductor transmitting a large quantity of electricity in an intense magnetic field, he has observed a "new" force which repulses objects.

Check out the paper:

<http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0108005>

REPLACE DIRTY COAL WITH THE WIND, ENGINEERS SAY MSNBC

Submitted by Patrick Bailey

<http://www.msnbc.com/news/617631.asp>

Wind power is now cheaper than coal and could become a leading source of electricity with the right political support and investment, researchers said Thursday. The Stanford engineers calculated that building some 225,000 wind turbines across the country would be expensive -- at an initial cost of \$338 billion -- but that the payback would include a huge drop in emissions tied to global warming. [more...]

Too hot to handle

Submitted by Remy Chevalier. remyc@prodigy.net

Reference: Terry Wyss tlwtrading@kitcarson.net
and

<http://www.alternativescience.com/flame-proof.htm>

In April 1993, the defense magazine Jane's International Defense Review announced the discovery by a British amateur inventor, Maurice Ward, of a thin plastic coating able to withstand temperatures of 2,700 degrees Centigrade

The reason why it was a defense magazine, which first published news of this revolutionary invention is that the coating is so resistant to heat that it can make tanks, ships and aircraft impervious to the effects of nuclear weapons at quite close range -- and hence is of great interest to the military mind.

A little later that year the whole nation had an opportunity to see for themselves the effectiveness of Maurice Ward's new paint on BBC Television when it was featured on "Tomorrow's World". Presenter Michael Rodd showed viewers an ordinary chicken's egg that had been painted with the new coating. The paint was so thin it was not visible. Rodd then dramatically donned welder's visor and gauntlets, lit up an oxyacetylene torch, and played the flame directly onto the egg for several minutes.

When he removed the flame, and cracked the egg on the table top, viewers were able to see that the coating was so heat resistant that the egg was still raw and had not even begun to cook.

This invention, a simple paint that can render anything impervious to very high temperatures, has been the Holy Grail of chemical research for more than fifty years. Teams of scientists in the world's greatest industrial and defense laboratories have poured billions of pounds and hundreds of man-years into the search for such a substance -- a quest which made Ward's discovery even more extraordinary.

Ward's invention is remarkable enough, but the story of how he came to make it, and the resistance he encountered in getting anyone to believe him, is even more remarkable.

Maurice Ward comes from Blackburn and has no professional scientific background. The closest he has come to the chemical industry was when, as a young man, he drove a fork lift truck in the warehouse of ICI. For the past two decades, he has earned a living as a ladies hairdresser.

Part of his income was derived from selling his customers hair preparations such as shampoo, conditioner and hairspray. To maximize his income he rented a small workshop, bought standard chemicals and mixed and bottled his own brand hair products.

In the best traditions of Ealing Comedy, it was when playing around mixing up chemicals in his 'skunk works' that Ward stumbled on the formula that had eluded the finest minds in chemical research.

Realising at once the value of his invention, Ward wrote to Britain's major chemical companies, offering to demonstrate his material to them. Every one sent him the standard brush-off letter they send to cranks and crackpots. After the "Tomorrow's World" demonstration, Ward stopped getting the brush-off and started getting offers instead.

One consequence of his contacts with chemical companies was that the head of research of ICI's paint laboratory left the firm and went into partnership with Ward to exploit the discovery commercially.

One other interesting consequence is that the large corporations who had rejected his initial approaches in such a knee-jerk fashion, conducted internal inquests to find out what had gone wrong, both with their own research and with their dealings with the outside world.

On the face of it, it was perfectly understandable that Ward's claims should be ignored since he was merely an amateur, with no scientific training and no track record in research.

ICI's own paints laboratory held an internal audit and what they found puts this claim in an entirely different light. For the audit showed that the most scientifically qualified of its research chemists had contributed to the least number of patents, and the fewer scientific qualifications the staff possessed, the greater the number of patents they had contributed to. In the most striking case of all, the person who had contributed to most ICI's patents had no scientific qualifications at all.

It seems that Maurice Ward's greatest strength, as a researcher was that he had not been taught how to think.

In the light of examples such as this, the phrase 'Alternative Science' seems less a contradiction in terms and more a harbinger of something that

professional science is likely to see more and more of in future.

Advanced Energy Research vs. UFOs & Antigravity: Friends of Foes?

By Patrick Bailey, President, INE, ine@padrak.com

The INE and the NEN have generally stayed away from the subject of UFOs (unidentified flying objects) and so-called "antigravity" research for various reasons. This article summarizes my personal views as to why these subjects should be kept separate from the INE and its research as much as possible.

Many INE members, including myself, are very interested in the subject of UFOs and also in the science of antigravity research. Several articles have recently appeared in the monthly issues New Energy News (NEN) by members of the Institute for New Energy (INE) for informational purposes and to try to provide a possible technical link between the new sciences of new energy conversion devices and the technologies or the sciences of UFOs, assuming that the UFOs are real.

INE members should feel free to submit and publish any articles that they feel would be interesting to other INE members in the NEN. However, I would like the INE to be concerned with solid devices that we can see and touch on the kitchen table or the test bench, and not spend too much time worrying about advanced theoretics, UFO witness accounts, and possibly the ever present fanciful stories. In this article, here is why I feel this way (and - it's absolutely NOT what you think right now!):

Let's look at all of the possibilities:

UFOs are either: 1. Not real or 2. Are real. If they are real, then they are either, 2A: Earth human built and Earth human flown; or 2B: ET built and ET flown (where an ET is defined here as an off-planet ExtraTerrestrial with space faring capabilities - OR some society on earth that we are not normally acquainted with, such as beings from the inner-Earth stories); or, maybe 2C: "We" are working with "Them" with some of "Their" stuff.

I shall examine each of these points in turn below, without accepting or denying any one point-of-view, and state the consequence to the INE of each choice:

Choice 1. UFOs Are NOT Real:

Your local media, the bubble-headed-beach-blond on the news, your

national media, all national magazines, your elected officials, and your local, state, and federal governments, constantly publicizes this point. Why should you doubt them? Therefore, why should the INE put itself in any position to look foolish by even bringing the subject up? Any talk of UFOs or antigravity would only allow discredit to the INE and the researchers who support it. Thus: UFOs or antigravity should not be part of the INE.

Choice 2. UFOs ARE Real:

The current nation-wide "Disclosure Project" [1] has been reported to know of a source of advanced "free energy" devices and other secret technologies that may even include antigravity and UFO flight technologies. The basic tenant of this recent grass-roots movement is that non-elected governmental and military personnel are in control of these and other advanced technologies and that they are keeping these facts from the President, the Congress, the Senate, and you - all in direct violation of valid current U.S. Constitutional Law. If there is advanced technology out there that can assist us in solving the energy crisis and possible global warming problems, then perhaps we should en-mass forces accesses to it and use it! I attended the Disclosure Project meeting in San Francisco recently, and participated as a volunteer, to assist in this project going forward. I personally feel that this Project is very worthwhile, needed, and that you should seriously look at getting involved in it as well.

While the Disclosure Project deals primarily with UFOs (sightings, data, and hard evidence), I am interested for the INE in ONLY the advanced energy conversion device aspects - not in the antigravity aspects.

Now - one could argue against this position, by saying: Well, if you had a device that could produce antigravity, then you could use that to drive one side of a wheel on a generator, so the thing would turn, and you could thus build some great free energy devices! OK - maybe that is true. Maybe the use of an antigravity device (if given) could be used for advanced energy conversion and electrical generation. That is true. In fact, it has already happened! - With the advent of the fossil-fueled jet engine and the jet turbines, from which we can now build high-temperature gas turbines for electricity production. But that is not my point.

My point is that maybe - hopefully - you do not need any UFOs or antigravity technologies to find new devices to utilize new and exciting sources of potential energy!

Hopefully we can develop new advanced energy conversion devices for the production of electricity by advancing our understanding of electricity and magnetism and other natural forces - without the use of UFOs or any

antigravity machines. That would be very nice. IMHO (In my humble opinion) - here's why:

UFO and antigravity technology is probably placed within the highest security classifications in existence today - in the US military, the US Navy, the new Russian Military, British Intelligence, NATO, and any other organization that has become involved in the careful study of UFOs. I will not go into the arguments of whether or not UFOs, foo fighters, flying saucers, flying cigars, flying triangles, Hanibu IIs, ETs, or ET crafts exist or not. I could not prove that argument either way - until I meet one in person. So, the next best thing is to see what others have said, and to factor that into our thinking.

We all know what the US military and the US press have said about UFOs and ETs: "They Don't Exist." Period. Fine. Given that, they sure are attracting of attention elsewhere.

The recent book by Bruce Maccabee [2] presents fact after fact from FBI and US Air Force previously classified documents - obtained by the Freedom of Information Act - which document how Project Blue Book, Project Sign, Project Grudge, and many other "UFO projects" were absolute and total dis-information projects to make the UFO problem "go away" from the minds of the American public in the 1940s and 1950s. If you read this book, and read other related books and see the many videotapes by UFO researchers such as Stanton Friedman, Robert Dean, Wendelle Stevens, Michael Heseman, etc., you will probably come the conclusion yourself that UFOs and flying saucers, etc., all definitely do exist. Stanton Friedman has been lecturing for many years now to colleges and conferences all across the US and Canada with the subject topic "Flying Saucers Are Real!" [3]. Robert Dean is a UFO researcher who has now been lecturing for years that he actually read a "Cosmic Top Secret" "Eyes Only" classified NATO report entitled "An Assessment" while he was stationed at NATO HQ near Paris in the early 1960s, in which it was stated that UFOs were real, and various craft sizes and shapes including flying disks were carefully cataloged and drawn in it [4].

A more recent video tape "UFOs - 50 Years of Denial" [5] has an actual videotaped interview with a leader of Project Blue Book who confesses on the tape that they were required by the military to lie about UFOs to explain away every case - that was their job!

Hogwash you say? If you want to learn more about UFOs, etc., I would recommend the International UFO Congress [6], and the Bay Area UFO Expo [7], both held annually near where I live. These conferences offer first-hand witness testimony usually accompanied with lots of pictures and videotape footage. There are also many local and regional MUFON meetings and conferences [8] in the US and internationally. There are

probably other conferences with this high caliber of speakers somewhere in your area.

There are also now (finally!) politically-incorrect related conferences, such as "Conspiracy Con I" [9], that summarize the various "secret governments" and how your "right-to-know" has been overtly "disallowed".

So, now choosing to discuss the point-of-view that UFOs really do exist, then the next obvious step is to ask the three basic questions (following in the footsteps of Robert Dean):

1. Who Are They?
2. Why Are They Here? and,
3. What Do They Want?

Choice 2A. UFOs Are REAL and Earth Human Built and Earth Human Flown:

If "They" are humans from Earth from the US government or military or some other nation (Russia was suspected in the 1940-1950s), or one of the "secret governments" - then their motives should be very familiar: Money, sex, and power! On the other hand, if "They" are ETs from outside of our normal society on Earth, then what would their motives be? (In either case, you can see why the subject would be classified within the highest levels by the military.)

If "They" are from only within the US government or the US military and the subject is highly classified, then that's where it's at: end of discussion.

Or, perhaps UFOs have been developed by some other "outside" government, company, or special human group that is not well known to the average person in the US. After all, there are lots of useful publicly available information that are actively "suppressed" within the US. Like, the "Federal Reserve Bank" is a private bank located in Europe, and is not legally part of the US Government or the US Treasury - we just borrow paper money from it, and then we have to pay it back with interest. The Fed has never been audited! So: where does all that money go? To what organizations? Also, the US went into a "State of National Emergency" in March 1933, which still legally exists today, giving the President of the US vast Executive Order privileges. [Many of those "Orders" are classified!

Why? What do they say?] Did the Germans really have manned flying disk technology in Austria in 1939? Was the Nazi Hanibu II flying saucer program real? Was "Operation Paperclip" really about stealing UFO technology? Were Adamski's visitors from Venus, or from Germany? What are those craft that people say they have seen over Western Canada,

or over Area 51 in Nevada? Over Area S4 in Nevada? Or over Pine Gap, in Australia? Or over Mexico, Brazil, and other South American countries? Could these be secret development and operational bases outside of US jurisdiction that we are just not supposed to about?

If UFOs are real, built and flown by Earth humans, and it is supposed to be kept a vast secret, then the INE will only get itself into more and more trouble and attract a lot of shall-we-say unwanted federal (or worse) attention by associating itself with the subject of UFOs. Thus: UFOs and antigravity should not be part of the INE.

Choice 2B. UFOs Are REAL and ET Built and ET Flown:

If "They" are really ETs, and if "They" have been visiting our normal Earth culture for a very long time (you can see old Renaissance paintings in Europe with flying disks in them, in mostly religious and Christian themes), then what do they want? I have found a real good answer to that question:

They are waiting for us to grow up!

Robert Dean says that the "AN Assessment" report he read while on duty in a top-secret NATO vault in the early 1960s said plainly that the NATO military at that time knew for a FACT that UFOs were piloted by at least four ET races: the small greys, small humanoids with orange skin and round eyes, a "Nordic" group of humans, and another group that looked exactly like regular Earth humans. [4] Mr. Dean now states that there are over 50 different ET groups that have been identified "out there".

After all, we have all heard of the Roswell, New Mexico, UFO crash in 1947, and the various stories to explain it by the US Air Force. Did you know that researchers claim to also have found many other crash sites with? Reported UFO debris, and many other ET bodies? [10] I have personally heard many researchers conclude that the ETs in the Roswell crash (a flying plane with wings that crashed nose first into a cliff) were of the small orange skinned humanoid type with round human-type eyes, while the ETs in the Socorro crash (a flying saucer that crashed up-side-down) were of the small gray type. Oh, and what about that "Alien Autopsy Film"? [11] All I can say is that to my knowledge no one has ever proved that anything in that film was wrong (out-of-date) or faked - and that also does not mean that this film must be true - it just doesn't matter.

So, are ETs good or bad? The good news is that most of the ETs seem to have good intentions; the bad news is that the Earth humans in control do not!

In one of my favorite movies [12] (1951!), an ET comes to earth to give

Earth's mankind new scientific advances for their use, and gets himself killed for his trouble! Now we are here exactly fifty years later, and fear and greed are probably still the driving force of the military and secret governments today. Of course there are other flying saucer movies where the ETs are made into the bad guys, and thus the military is out to destroy them [13]. (Yes, but in that movie, who fired first? Would you want us to fire first today? Are we NOW???) On the other hand, if ETs were to give us various advanced technologies, could we here really handle it? I mean, look at what is happening in the world right now today on CNN! - would faster air ships and better energy weapons really solve what is going on in the middle east and elsewhere? Also, much of the conceit and ego of mankind today reminds me all too much of that of the "Krell", in another famous movie, which taught an important lesson - when the Krell civilization was given this higher technology, they used it only to destroy themselves within one day. [14]

Regardless of these possibilities, Earth humans will still want to advance and learn from any ETs they can and will try to obtain whatever knowledge those humans can get. IMHO, the INE sure would like some! So, if this is possible, what is the real current status of that possibility?

A distinguished reporter from the Los Angeles Times, now retired, has recently written two books on ET contact, and describes why he was taken on his visits, what assignments he was given, and the status of his assignments as a "Deputy Envoy", the next level position next to an "Ambassador", to the Verdant (that ET group). He worked for 25 years as a reporter, shared the Pulitzer Prize, and was always very anti-UFO, as all mass media publications in the US are. His latest book (c 2001) is titled "The Challenge of Contact" [15], and (I will quote from the book cover) "reports on a coalition of humans and aliens now engaged in extensive interplanetary diplomacy - with the goal of open contact. Mr. Krapf - ... - shares the daunting challenges this role has forced upon him in this sequel to his controversial 1998 book, "The Contact has Begun" [16].

In very short summary, his recent book [15] describes how an advanced ET civilization (the Verdant) has been observing Earth humans for several hundred years, and how now they feel that Earth may be ready to join a federation of planets and ETs (the IFSP: Intergalactic Federation of Sovereign Planets), and how the Verdant are enrolling certain Earth humans to be "Ambassadors" and "Deputy Envoys" to figure out the best way to plan for and achieve this open contact. The bombshell bottom line in this more recent book after his second trip to one of their bases is that the ETs are now not so sure that the Earth humans are ready and able to handle "open contact" - not due to the education and willingness of the individuals themselves, but instead - because of the willingness of the people to allow a minority of the population to control the rest of the Earth

with evil intent, and to continue to promote war and tribal battles for only greed, and to promote the use of nuclear weapons in space. Basically they are saying - in this book - if you cannot govern yourselves peacefully and responsibly, then you are not ready (and will not be allowed) to meet with other more advanced civilizations off of the Earth, in space. [This also implies that you won't get any advanced education either, for the same reasons.]

Here is some text on this from page 24 of the preface [15]:

"To the best of my knowledge, Earth is under the Verdants' jurisdiction - strictly as an object of observation - since it was a Verdant ship and crew that first discovered it. The Verdants, however, are not the only ones monitoring emerging civilizations. Other species are engaged in this same pursuit, a routine part of the IFSP organizational structure and mission. I do not know if any of these other species are in Earth's neighborhood because this was never discussed with me. In the last decade of the 20th century, the Verdants concluded that humankind, having advanced to the point where it was rapidly developing the technology for entering deep space, was qualified for membership in the federation. There was, however, one primary sticking point. For the crossover to be successful, it was necessary for the 80 per cent of the human population who have tried to lead decent thoughtful, creative lives to gain control over the 20 percent who are largely responsible for the planet's ills because of their greed, lack of ethics, or whatever else makes them a threat to a just and loving world. They didn't provide tactics or strategies on just how to achieve this, asserting instead that our destiny is in our own hands and they have no intention of interfering. Observation thus continued through the end of the '90s to monitor the progress of this expected transformation and plans were laid for contact to take place in the first decade of the 21st century."

So, it seems that whichever choice we make, we get the same result: Get your own act together! And - DO IT YOURSELF!

The INE is here to do just that! We ARE READY to DO THAT!

Choice 2C. UFOs Are REAL and ET/Human Built and ET/Human Flown - i.e. "We" are Working With "Them":

This topic would cover the above choice and the rest of all of the possibilities, and allows for the theories that the US military has ET craft in Area 51, Area S4, Pine Gap, or wherever else, and is learning to use them.

I would only caution the reader on two points here: 1. Robert Dean now says that his research and contacts indicate that there are more than 50

different physical ET groups (races) out there, so I would be very careful as to whom I would buy a used car from; and 2. I myself would not give or sell machine guns or flame throwers to children, or even to adults in any mental institution.

So here we are. Now, back to my position of why "advanced energy conversion devices" and "antigravity" should be treated separately - by the INE and by other organizations. The INE does not need the additional hassle or additional problems! Thus: UFOs and antigravity should not be part of the INE.

If the research and development of advanced energy conversion devices is allowed to go forward, by our real elected government (or any other government or ET council, if necessary), then we should do that. Right Now!

At the same time, if we are not going to be allowed to have access to new propulsion "antigravity devices" by our own "military" or "secret government" (or any other government or ET council, if necessary) then we at the INE should not include that in our plans to achieve our overall goals.

So: In the earlier days of the INE, a decision was made by myself and other INE Officers to keep all of the UFO and antigravity related research separate in other organizations, and outside of the focus and scope of INE. As I said, I feel the INE should be concerned about researching, developing, and demonstrating new and advanced energy conversion devices from new sources of potential energy - to advance science and assist us in solving the many problems we have here now on Earth. We can always reference the work done by other organizations that include UFOs and antigravity research, and obtain any useful data from them.

Therefore, I have chosen to become acquainted with and support "Antigravity News and Space Drive Technology" [17], and other international antigravity organizations, e.g. [18], and I have kept up on all of my antigravity research there - and AWAY FROM the INE.

By doing this, I have covered the two major bases: (1) If Phillip Krapf is telling the Truth about the Verdant ETs and their willingness to share higher energy technology with Earth humans, then I and the INE stand ready to do that RIGHT NOW within the objectives of the INE!!! Or with any other benevolent ET group! Or, (2) if there are no ETs, then the INE stands ready to move forward with our objectives WITHOUT becoming a target of the present day world-wide international drug cartels [19].

In concluding this overview on these impactful subjects, it may be best to

focus on and reflect upon the three questions that my friend Robert Dean has finally arrived at after his many years in classified military projects, his NATO cosmic top secret service, and his over 50 years of dedicated UFO research:

1. Who am I?
2. Why am I here?
3. What do I want?

I myself want the objectives of the INE to be successful.

Focus on getting useful information from any source: Share results!
Just Do It!

Patrick Bailey, President, INE.

References:

1. The Disclosure Project, Purpose, Objectives, and Regional City Meetings:
<http://www.disclosureproject.org>
2. "UFO-FBI Connection: The Secret History of the Government's Cover-Up", by Bruce Maccabee, Ph.D, Llewellyn Publications, c 2000.
3. "Flying Saucers Are Real", Vols. 1 and 2, by Stanton T. Friedman, Nuclear Physicist, videotapes, J. C. Curley & Company, Inc & Stanton T. Friedman, c 1993 and 1996, resp.
4. "The Greatest Story Ever Told: Cosmic Top Secret/Eyes Only", by NATO Insider Robert O. Dean, videotape, Margana Anagram, 206-546-2588, c 1997.
5. "UFOs: 50 Years of Denial", videotape, Quick Fox Productions, Sausalito, CA, UFO Central Home Video, c 1997.
6. The International UFO Congress, Annual Meetings and Annual Summer Sessions: <http://www.ufocongress.com>
7. The Bay Area UFO Expo, Annual Meetings:
<http://www.bayareafoexpo.com>
8. MUFON: Mutual UFO Information Network, Inc. (the world's largest civilian UFO research organization):
<http://www.mufon.com/home.html>

9. The Conspiracy Conference, Annual Meetings:
<http://www.conspiracycon.com>

10. "UFO Crash Dates and Alien Body Counts",
<http://www.geocities.com/Area51/Cavern/5268/crashes.html>

11. "Alien Autopsy: Fact Or Fiction", videotape, Vidmark/Trimark, c 1995.

12. "The Day the Earth Stood Still", Twentieth Century-Fox movie production videotape, c 1951.

13. "Earth vs. the Flying Saucers", Columbia Pictures movie production videotape, c 1956.

14. "Forbidden Planet", videotape, Warner Studios, c 1956.

15. "The Challenge of Contact: A Mainstream Journalist's Report On Interplanetary Diplomacy", by Phillip Krapf (25-year veteran of the L.A. Times staff), Origin Press, c 2001.

16. "The Contact Has Begun: The True Story of a Journalist's Encounter with Alien Beings", Phillip H. Krapf, Hay House, c 1998.

17. Antigravity News and Space Drive Technology:
<http://www.padrak.com/agn/>

18. New Energy and Antigravity Links Website in Germany,
<http://www.powerdive.de>

19. "Overview Of Antigravity Technology: Consensus, Conclusions, And Concerns", by Patrick Bailey, Invited Overview Paper at the 1999 Antigravity and Space Drive International Conference:
<http://www.padrak.com/agn/AGPAPER99.html>

KNOWLEDGE AND INVENTION (QUOTES)

Submitted by Patrick Bailey

**Reference: The New Webster's Library of Practical Information:
Quotations, c 1987.**

Knowledge is knowing that we cannot know.

- Ralph Waldo Emerson

Knowledge is the small part of ignorance that we arrange and classify.

- Ambrose Bierce

All wish to possess knowledge, but few, comparatively speaking, are willing to pay the price.

- Juvenal

The march of invention has clothed mankind with powers of which a century ago the boldest imagination could have dreamt.

- Henry George

A tool is but the extension of a man's hand, and a machine is but a complex tool. And he that invents a machine augments the power of a man and the well-being of mankind.

- Henry Ward Beecher
